UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,073	08/17/2006	Ester Fernandez-Salas	17596(BOT)	9808
51957 ALLERGAN, I	7590 06/20/200 NC .		EXAMINER	
2525 DUPONT	DRIVE, T2-7H		PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN	
IRVINE, CA 92612-1599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,073	FERNANDEZ-SALAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GINNY PORTNER	1645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. FONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/1 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ The string This application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/598,073 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-78 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-33, drawn to a plurality of methods of detecting botulinum toxin activity.

Group II, claim(s) 34-36, drawn to methods of reducing botulinum toxin activity in a human by administering a molecule.

Group III, claim(s) 37-76, drawn to methods of screening for inhibitors of botulinum toxin activity.

Group IV, claim(s) 77-78, drawn to business method.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Keller et al (1999) describe the special technical feature in the first appearing invention directed to a method of detecting botulinum toxin type A activity relative to a control cell, wherein the different in activity is indicative of botulinum toxin type A activity. The cell is a spinal cord cell culture that comprises a neuronal component (see page 138, sections 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 and Figure 1, the spinal cord cell culture presenting a wild type naturally occurring variant receptor for botulinum toxin type A which is encompassed by Applicant's definition at Specification [0035], the exogenous receptor binding to BoNT/A complex (see section 2.5, page 138) which resulted in transport of BoNT/A and a showing of a difference in activity (see Figure 1). A Lack of Unity of invention exists because Keller et al describes the first appearing special technical feature; the first appearing invention not making a contribution over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

4. The claimed species are deemed to correspond to the following claims; the species listed below in the following manner:

Group I:

Claim 2, cell transiently contains an exogenous receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 3, cell stably contains an exogenous receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 4, cell contains a mammalian receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 5, cell contains a human receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 6, cell contains a bovine receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 7, cell contains a mouse receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 8, cell contains a rat receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 9, cell contains a bird receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 10, cell contains a chicken receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 11, cell contains a amphibian receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 12, cell contains a frog receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 13, cell contains a newt receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 14, cell contains a fish receptor or BoNT/A

Claim 15, cell contains a zebra fish receptor or BoNT/A

Claims 23-27 non-neuronal cells that comprise a BoNT/A receptor.

Group III:

Claim 41 cell transiently contains an exogenous receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 42, cell stably contains an exogenous receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 43, cell contains a mammalian receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 44, cell contains a human receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 45, cell contains a bovine receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 46, cell contains a mouse receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 47, cell contains a rat receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 48, cell contains a bird receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 49, cell contains a chicken receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 50, cell contains an amphibian receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 51, cell contains a frog receptor for BoNT/A

Claim 52, contains a newt receptor or BoNT/A

Claim 53, contains a fish receptor or BoNT/A

Claim 54 contains a zebra fish receptor or BoNT/A

Claims 62-66 non-neuronal cells that comprise a BoNT/A receptor.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Group I, claim 1 and Group III, claim 37.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each species of invention evidences a different chemical structural, function and biological effect. The first appearing invention does not define a special technical feature that makes a contribution over the prior art. The claimed inventions are not so linked as to evidence Unity of invention.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1645

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GINNY PORTNER whose telephone number is (571)272-0862. The examiner can normally be reached on flextime, but usually M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ginny Portner/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645 June 16, 2008

/Mark Navarro/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645